WILSON DEVELOPMENTS INC.

# FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT

ECO PARKWAY INDUSTRIAL SITE TOWNSHIP OF SOUTHGATE

AUGUST 2023

COBIDE Engineering Inc 517 10<sup>th</sup> Street Hanover, ON N4N 1R1 TEL: 519-506-5959 www.cobideeng.com



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION1
1.1 1.2	Location1 Development Proposal1
2.	WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM 3
2.1	Design Criteria
2.2	Water Consumption
2.3	Watermain Configuration3
3.	SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM 4
3.1	Design Criteria4
3.2	Design Flow Rates4
3.3	Sanitary Sewer Configuration4
4.	STORM SEWER SYSTEM5
4.1	design requirements5
4.2	SWM Facility Characteristics
4.2.1	SWM Facility Performance
4.3	Modelling Results7
5	GRADING & EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL
ν.	
	δ
5.1	Construction Stage8
LIST O	FTABLES
Table 1	- Proposed Water Demands
Table 4	1 – SWM Facility Geometry 6
Table 4	2 – SWM Facility Performance 6

# Table 4.3 - Peak Flow Summary ......7 LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 - Site Location Mar	2

# **APPENDICES**

A – Drawings SP1 – Proposed Site Plan SS1 – Proposed Site Servicing Plan

B – SWM Model Output

Cobide Engineering Inc. No 03710

Cobide Engineering Inc. was retained by Wilson Developments to provide engineering services in support of a Site Plan Approval Application for Phase 1 of their proposed industrial development in the village of Dundalk.

A copy of the proposed Site Plan has been included in Appendix A as Drawing SP1.

# **1.1 LOCATION**

The proposed development is located Part of Lots 235 and 236, Former Township of Proton, Township of Southgate, County of Grey (described herein as the "site"). A Site Location Map is included as Figure 1. The subject property is approximately 4.85 hectares in area.

# **1.2 DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL**

The proposed development be completed in phases with Phase 1 consisting two (2) 1,113.6 m<sup>2</sup> rental unit buildings, adjacent parking areas and an interior roadway. Phase 2 will consist of constructing a 9,300 m<sup>2</sup> industrial building. The total area to be developed is approximately 4.85 hectares.

There will be a private road throughout the site providing access around the buildings. One entrance will be provided in the southwest corner of the property off Eco Parkway.

The Site Plan showing the overall configuration of the development has been included in Appendix A and noted as SP1.

The subject property is currently designated Industrial in the Township of Southgate's Official Plan and is zoned "M1 – General Industrial Zone" in the Township of Southgate's Zoning By-law. The subject property is within the Dundalk Settlement Boundary of the current Official Plan of the Township of Southgate and thus is intended for servicing from municipal water and municipal sewage.

The servicing of Phase 2 will be dealt with under a separate apparoval.



MAP SOURCE - MTO ROAD MAP



www.cobideeng.com

Client/Project ECO PARKWAY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT WILSON DEVELOPMENTS Township of Southgate, Ontario FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT Figure No.

Figure No.

Title

# **REGIONAL LOCATION MAP**

H:\Wilson\03710 — Eco Park Drive Industrial Site\Drawings\Working Drawings\03710 Regional Location — FSR.dwg Jun 07, 2022 — 9:53am COPYRIGHT © COBIDE ENGINEERING INC.

# 2. WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

The water distribution system will be sized based on the existing conditions at the connection to the municipal system and the proposed development's estimated demands which are determined by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems (2008).

# 2.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

The water distribution system will be design in accordance MOE guidelines which state the system *"should be designed to satisfy the greater of the following demands:* 

- Maximum day demand plus fire flow; or,
- Peak hour demand

The maximum day demand and peak hour demand are based on the projected water consumption from the development and the fire flow is based on the type of the development.

The system will require modelling during the detailed design stage to ensure the water pressure throughout the system is within the requirements of the MECP.

Based on MECP guidelines, the minimum pressure at ground level at all points in the distribution system under maximum day demand plus fire flow conditions are to be 140 kPa (20 psi). The normal operation pressure should be between 350 kPa (50 psi) to 480 kPa (70 psi). There shall be no point in the distribution system that has a normal operating pressure of less than 275 kPa (40 psi). The maximum pressure in the pipe cannot exceed 700 kPa (100 psi).

# **2.2 WATER CONSUMPTION**

The system will be designed based on the average recommended commercial water demand of 5 L/m<sup>2</sup> of floor area/day per the MECP's Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems (2008).

Table 1 below summarizes the projected water demands for the proposed development.

Table 1 - Proposed Water Demands								
Demand	Area	Consumption	Peaking Factor	Peak Rate (L/day)	Peak Rate (L/s)			
Rental	2,227 m <sup>2</sup>	5 L/m²/day	2.5	27,838	0.32			

The system should be capable of supplying a minimum of 0.32 L/s of water to meet the peak hour demand of the proposed development.

# 2.3 WATERMAIN CONFIGURATION

A 150mm diameter watermain will be connected to the municipal system at the proposed entrance into the development. There is currently a 150mm diameter watermain on the east side of Eco Parkway.

A single 50mm diameter connection will be provided to each storage building.

A drawing showing the proposed watermain distribution network has been included in Appendix A.

# 3. SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM

The sanitary servicing of the proposed development will be sized based on the existing conditions at the connection to the municipal sanitary sewer and the proposed development's estimated site demands which are determined by the MECP *Design Guidelines for Sewage Works (2008)*.

# **3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA**

The sanitary sewer system will be designed in accordance MECP guidelines.

The sanitary sewer will be designed to convey the projected peak flow based on the site's occupancy load as well as extraneous flows.

# 3.2 DESIGN FLOW RATES

The sanitary sewer will be design flows are expected to be similar to the water usage. Therefore the peak flows are expected to be approximately 0.32 l/s.

# 3.3 SANITARY SEWER CONFIGURATION

There will be a sanitary sewer through the middle of the site with a single connection to the existing sanitary sewer. Based on the as built drawings received for the area, there are sanitary sewers north of the site which connect to the sanitary sewer system on Eco Parkway that will provide the outlet for the development.

All sanitary sewers are proposed to be 200mm diameter PVC pipe. The minimum slope considered will be 0.40% to maintain a minimum velocity at full flow to prevent sediment deposition and blockages.

A drawing showing the proposed sanitary collection network has been included in Appendix A as Drawing 03710-SS1.

# 4. STORM SEWER SYSTEM

The subject property is currently vacant. The site is generally sloping from south to north, and west to east. There are no existing storm sewers on the property. The site mainly discharges into an existing ditch on the west side of Eco Parkway. Eco Parkway will be considered Discharge Point #1 for the purposes of this report.

The proposed development will be graded such that runoff is conveyed via storm sewer system and sheet flow to a new wet stormwater management pond in the northeast corner of the property. The outlet for the stormwater management pond will consist of a headwall, and a 300mm dia. storm sewer c/w an orifice, that will then discharge into the existing ditch on the west side of Eco Parkway.

The storm sewer system will be designed in accordance with the municipal and conservation authority guidelines including the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Design Guidelines. The storm sewer system will use the rationale method to size the storm sewer to accommodate the 5 year peak flow from the development. The majority of the site will discharge to the proposed storm sewers.

The hydrologic modelling software PCSWMM Version 7.4.3240 Professional 2D was used to determine the pre and post-development peak flows of the 5 yr., 25 yr., and 100 yr. storm events (3 hour Chicago Storm Event, Dundalk IDF Parameters using MTO Curve Look-Up Tool).

The pre-development and post-development parameters and model outputs are contained in Appendix B.

For the purposes of this report, Discharge Point #1 will be the Eco-Park Way Ditch and Discharge Point #2 will be the lands to the north of the property.

# 4.1 DESIGN REQUIREMENTS

The intent of stormwater quantity control is to limit the flows under proposed conditions to existing levels or less to protect the downstream watercourses, infrastructure and properties.

Minor and Major flows from the majority of the development will be conveyed to the proposed stormwater management facility via a new storm sewer system throughout the site and overland flow routes.

Due to the increase in impervious area, stormwater quantity control will be required for the site. The design of the stormwater management facility has assumed a free outlet from the pond.

# 4.2 SWM FACILITY CHARACTERISTICS

The stormwater management facility and outlet structure have been designed to control peak runoff rates as well as conform to MECP best practices.

In order to provide the above required volumes and discharges, the following SWM Facility geometry is being proposed:

SWM FACILITY	DETAILED DESIGN
Side Slope	3:1 - 5:1
SWM Facility Bottom	508.00 m
Permanent Pool Elevation	509.00 m
Top Elevation	510.25 m
High Water Elevation	509.57 m

Table 4.1	- SWM Facility	y Geometry
-----------	----------------	------------

The outlet configuration for the SWM Facility will be as follows:

- A 300mm diameter storm sewer with a 175mm orifice and an outlet elevation of 509.00 m; •
- The outlet pipe will discharge into the roadside ditch on the west side of Eco Parkway •

As seen by the proposed inverts, the proposed stormwater management facility will be constructed as a wet pond.

#### 4.2.1 SWM FACILITY PERFORMANCE

Below is a summary of the hydraulic performance of the stormwater SWM Facility during the various storm events.

Table 4.2 – SWM Facility Performance								
RETURN PERIOD	ELEVATION (m)	STORAGE (m³)	DISCHARGE (I/s)					
5 Year	509.35	1,448	35.3					
25 Year	509.47	2,049	43.1					
100 Year	509.57	2,542	48.3					

le 4	.2 –	SWM	Facility	Performance	

# 4.3 MODELLING RESULTS

Based upon the above outlet structure, the following summarizes the pre-development and post development peak flows to the discharge point.

RETURN	DISCHARG	E POINT #1 S)	DISCHARGE POINT #2 (L/S)		
PERIOD	PRE	POST	PRE	POST	
5 Year	43.3	35.3	15.3	0	
25 Year	92.9	43.1	32.4	0	
100 Year	147.8	48.3	51.2	0	

As seen in the above table, the post development peak flows will be less than the pre development peak flows for all design storm events at Discharge Point #1. The peak flow is being conservatively controlled by the proposed stormwater management pond.

# 4.4 WATER QUAILITY

The MOE guidelines require that extended detention SWM facility's provide quality treatment of 40m<sup>3</sup>/ha and discharge it over a minimum of 24 hours. Having an extended detention component in the quality ponds provides settlement of suspended solids.

The following table summarizes the volume requirements based the MOE Guidelines.

Table 4.4 - Water Quality Requirements								
POST DEV DRAINAGE AREA (ha)	MOE VOLUME REQUIREMENT FOR NORMAL PROTECTION BASED ON 81.5% IMPERVIOUS (245 m³/ha)	MOE EXTENDED DETENTION (40 m³/ha)	PERMANENT POOL REQUIRED (m <sup>3</sup> )					
4.48 ha	1,120 m³	180 m <sup>3</sup>	940 m <sup>3</sup>					

The wetland facility will provide 6,250 m<sup>3</sup> of active storage volume. The pond will provide a permanent pool volume of 2,800 m<sup>3</sup>. The pond has sufficient volume and size to meet water quality sizing requirements.

# 5. GRADING & EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Erosion and sediment controls shall meet the requirements of the most recent version of the MECP *Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual* at the time of construction.

# 5.1 CONSTRUCTION STAGE

Prior to the start of construction, appropriate sediment control facilities are to be in place. Following are details regarding erosion and sediment control that are to be implemented:

- Placement of heavy duty siltation fencing is required to be installed around the property boundary within the drainage corridor on the north and east side of the site to intercept sediment that could potentially be transported by sheet flow across the site. Light duty siltation fence will also be installed at any development grading limits where runoff may discharge from the site.
- It is proposed that the stormwater management pond be constructed first to act as a sedimentation basin.
- Placement of temporary straw check dams within the Eco Parkway drainage ditch downstream of the site;
- Installation of filter cloth under all new catchbasin grates until paving of the roadway is completed;
- Mud mats will be placed at construction access to keep public roadways free from debris during the construction period.
- Re-vegetate all disturbed areas after underground and surface works have been constructed.

Prior to removal of sediment control facilities, ensure that sediment that may have accumulated has been removed.

Once the area has been stabilized, the silt fencing can be removed.

Sincerely,

Cobide Engineering Inc.

Travis Burnside, P. Eng.



H:\Wilson\03710 - Eco Park Drive Industrial Site\Reports\FSR\2022-06-03 Eco Parkway FSR 03710.docx

# Appendix A

DRAWINGS

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT

ECO PARKWAY INDUSTRIAL SITE

**TOWNSHIP OF SOUTHGATE** 



COPYRIGHT C COBIDE ENGINEERING INC.





4:/Wilson\03710 - Eco Park Drive Industrial Site\Drawings\Submissions\2023-06-23 Second Submission\03710 Eco Park Drive Industrial Ba



son\03710 - Eco Park Drive Industrial Site\Drawings\Submissions\2023-06-23 Second Submission\03710 Eco Park Drive Industrial Base 2022-03-04.dwg Aug 1

# Appendix B

MODEL PARAMETERS AND OUTPUT STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT ECO PARKWAY INDUSTRIAL SITE TOWNSHIP OF SOUTHGATE

# Table A.1 Parameter Summary Table

Existing Conditions									
Outlet Location	Model Catchment ID	Description	Area (ha)	Drainage Channel (m)	Flow Length (m)	Gradient (%)	Total Imperv. Connected (%)	Manning's 'n' (Perv.)	CN (Perv.)
	101	Pre Development Site - Front Portion	3.12	120	260	2.0	0.0	0.30	72.0
	102	Pre Development Site - Back Portion	1.35	390	35	2.0	0.0	0.30	72.0
	201	Post Development Site	4.48	600	75	5.0	81.5	0.25	77.0

# Table A.2 Site Soils: (as per Ontario Soil Survey Report for Grey County)

**Soil Type** Listowel Silt Loam

# Hydologic Soil Group BC

	TABLE OF CURVE NUMBERS (CN's)								
and Use Hydrologic Soil Type									
	А	AB	В	BC	С	CD	D	Manning's 'n'	
Meadow	50	54	58	64.5	71	74.5	78	0.4	continuous grass
Woodlot	50	55.3	60.5	67	73.5	76.8	80	0.4	forests
Long Grass	55	60	65	72	79	81.5	84	0.3	natural, not maintained
Lawns	60	65.5	71	77	83	86	89	0.25	maintained
Pasture/Range	58	61.5	65	70.5	76	78.5	81	0.17	farm pasture
Crop	66	70	74	78	82	84	86	0.13	farm land
Fallow (bare)	77	82	86	89	91	93	94	0.05	idle farm land (bare)
Built-up	60	65.5	71	77	83	89	89	0.25	Lawns Existing
Streets, paved	98	98	98	98	98	98	98	0.01	

HYDROLOGIC SOIL TYPE (%) - Existing Conditions											
Catabraant	Hydrologic Soil Type										
Catchment	A	AB	В	BC	С	CD	D	TOTAL			
101	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	100			
102	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	100			
201	0	0	0	100	0	0	0	100			

	LAND USE (%) - Existing Conditions													
Catchment	Meadow	Woodlot	Long Grass	Lawns	Pasture Range	Crop	Fallow (Bare)	Imperv. Not Connected (Rooftops)	Imperv. Connected	Total				
101	0	0.0	100.0	0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	100				
102	0	0	100	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0.0	100				
201	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	25.8	55.7	100				

	CURVE NUMBER (CN) - Existing Conditions												
Catchment	Meadow	Woodlot	Long Grass	Lawns	Pasture Range	Crop	Fallow (Bare)	Built-up	Imperv. Not Connected (Rooftops)	Weighted CN - Pervious	Manning's 'n'		
101	65	67	72	77	70.5	78	89	77	90	72.0	0.30		
102	65	67	72	77	70.5	78	89	77	90	72.0	0.30		
201	65	67	72	77	70.5	78	89	77	90	77.0	0.25		

# Table A.3: Impervious Area Determination for Subcatchment 101

Existing Condition	tions					
Area of Concern	Total Area (ha)	Impervic Conn	ous Area ected	Impervi Not Connect	Total (%)	
		(ha)	(%)	(ha)	(%)	
101	3.12	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
102	1.35	0.00	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0
201	4.48	2.50	55.7	1.15	25.8	81.5

# Table A.3 - Impervious Area Determination for Existing Catchments 101

Catchment					Imperv. Area	Imperv %
101	0	m of	20	m wide ROW @ 45% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
	0	Impervious Area	720	m² @ 100% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
	0	Roof Area	100	m <sup>2</sup> @ 100% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
					0.00 ha	
102	0	m of	20	m wide ROW @ 45% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
	0	Impervious Area	24927	m² @ 100% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
	0	Permanent Pool	3060	m <sup>2</sup> @ 100% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
	0	Roof Area	11540	m <sup>2</sup> @ 100% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
					0.00 ha	
201	0	m of	20	m wide ROW @ 45% imperv.	0.00 ha	0.0 %
	1	Impervious Area	24950	m <sup>2</sup> @ 100% imperv.	2.50 ha	55.7 %
	1	Permanent Pool	3060	m <sup>2</sup> @ 100% imperv.	0.31 ha	6.8 %
	1	Roof Area	11540	m² @ 100% imperv.	1.15 ha	25.8 %
					3.96 ha	

ECO PARKWAY SWM MODEL SCHEMATIC



## [TITLE]

### ;;Project Title/Notes

### [OPTIONS]

;;Option	Value
FLOW UNITS	LPS
INFILTRATION	HORTON
FLOW ROUTING	DYNWAVE
LINK OFFSETS	ELEVATION
MIN SLOPE	0
ALLOW PONDING	NO
SKIP STEADY STATE	NO
START DATE	5/25/2022
START TIME	00:00:00
REPORT START DATE	5/25/2022
REPORT START TIME	00:00:00
END DATE -	5/26/2022
ENDTIME	00:00:00
SWEEP START	1/1
SWEEP END	12/31
DRY DAYS	0
REPORT STEP	00:01:00
WET STEP	00:05:00
DRY STEP	00:05:00
ROUTING STEP	5
RULE STEP	00:00:00
—	
INERTIAL_DAMPING	PARTIAL
NORMAL_FLOW_LIMITED	BOTH
FORCE_MAIN_EQUATION	H-M
VARIABLE_STEP	0.75
LENGTHENING_STEP	0
MIN_SURFAREA	0
MAX_TRIALS	8
HEAD_TOLERANCE	0
SYS_FLOW_TOL	5
LAT_FLOW_TOL	5
MINIMUM_STEP	0.5
THREADS	8

#### [EVAPORATION]

;;Data Source	Parameters
;; CONSTANT	0.0
DRY_ONLY	NO

# [RAINGAGES]

[]					
;;Name	Format	Interval	SCF	Source	
;;					
Chicago_3h	INTENSITY	0:05	1.0	TIMESERIES	Chicago_3h
Chicago 3h 100yr	INTENSITY	0:05	1.0	TIMESERIES	Chicago 3h 100yr
Chicago_3h_25yr	INTENSITY	0:05	1.0	TIMESERIES	Chicago_3h_25yr

#### [SUBCATCHMENTS]

;;Name	Rain Gage	Outlet	Area	%Imperv	Width	%Slope	CurbLen	SnowPack
;;								
-								
101	Chicago 3h	OF3	1.35	0	120	2	0	
102	Chicago 3h	OF1	3.12	0	390	2	0	
201	Chicago_3h	SU1	4.48	81.5	600	2	0	

#### [SUBAREAS]

;;Subcatchment	N-Imperv	N-Perv	S-Imperv	S-Perv	PctZero	RouteTo	PctRouted
;;							
101	0.01	0.3	0.05	0.05	25	OUTLET	
102	0.01	0.3	0.05	0.05	25	OUTLET	
201	0.01	0.25	0.05	0.05	25	OUTLET	

#### [INFILTRATION]

;;Subcatchment	Param1	Param2	Param3	Param4	Param5	
;;						
101	72	0.5	7	0	0	CURVE NUMBER
102	72	0.5	7	0	0	CURVE_NUMBER

# **ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN – MODEL DETAILS**

201	77	0.5	7	0	0	С	URVE_NUMBER			
[OUTFALLS]										
;;Name	Elevation	n Type	Stage Da	ata	Gated	Route To				
;; OF1	509.1	FREE			NO					
OF2	509	FREE			NO					
OF3	0	FREE			NO					
[STORAGE]										
;;Name	Elev.	MaxDepth	InitDepth	Shape	Curve	Name/Par	ams	N/A	Fevap	Psi
Ksat IMD ;:										
	-		_							
SU1	508	2.25	1	TABULAR	Pond			0	0	
[ORIFICES]		_		_			0 55			
;;Name ;;	From Node	e 'I'o 	Node 	Туре		iiset	QCOEII 		CloseTime	
OR1	SU1	OF2		SIDE	5	09	0.65	NO	0	
[XSECTIONS]										
;;Link	Shape	Geom1		Geom2	Geom3	Geom	4 Barre	els Ci	ulvert	
0R1	CIRCULAR	0.175		0	0	0				
[CURVES]										
;;Name	Туре	X-Value	Y-Value							
Pond	Storage	0	2020							
Pond		0.4	2515							
Pond		1	3790							
Pond Pond		1.6	5130							
Pond		2.25	6024							
[TIMESERIES]										
;;Name	Date	Time	Value							
;Chicago design Chicago_3h	storm, a =	= 541.32, b	= 0.093, 0	c = 0.701	, Duration	. = 180 m	inutes, r =	0.4, ra	in units = mm	/hr.
;Chicago design Chicago_3h_100yr	storm, a =	= 895.37, b	= 0.029, 0	c = 0.7, 1	Duration =	: 180 min	utes, r = 0	.4, rain	units = mm/h	r.
;Chicago design Chicago_3h_25yr	storm, a =	= 737.24, b	= 0.067, 0	c = 0.7, 1	Duration =	= 180 min	utes, r = 0	.4, rain	units = mm/h	r.
[REPORT] ;;Reporting Opti INPUT YES CONTROLS NO SUBCATCHMENTS AL NODES ALL LINKS ALL	ons L									
[TAGS]										

DIMENSIONS	548709.3262	4889582.2144	549762.9458	4889725.9536
UNITS	Meters			

[MAP]

## **ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN – 5 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT**

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.015) ------

* * * * * * *	* * * *	* * * *	
Element	: Co ****	ount ****	
Number	of	rain gages	3
Number	of	subcatchments	3
Number	of	nodes	4
Number	of	links	1
Number	of	pollutants	0
Number	of	land uses	0

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Raingage Summary \*\*\*\*

Name	Data Source	Data Type	Recording Interval
Chicago_3h	Chicago_3h	INTENSITY	5 min.
Chicago_3h_100yr	Chicago_3h_100yr	INTENSITY	5 min.
Chicago_3h_25yr	Chicago_3h_25yr	INTENSITY	5 min.

#### 

Subcatchment Summary \*

Name	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope Rain Gage	Outlet	
101	1.35	120.00	0.00	2.0000 Chicago_3h	OF3	
102	3.12	390.00	0.00	2.0000 Chicago_3h	OF1	
201	4 48	600.00	81 50	2.0000 Chicago_3h	SU1	

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Node Summary

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*

Name	Туре	Invert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow
OF1	OUTFALL	509.10	0.00	0.0	
OF2	OUTFALL	509.00	0.00	0.0	
OF3	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	0.0	
SU1	STORAGE	508.00	2.25	0.0	

#### \*\*\*\*\*

Link Summary ******								
Name	From Node	To Node	Туре	<u>۽</u>	Leng	gth	%Slope	Roughness
OR1	SU1	OF2	ORII	FICE?				
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *							
Cross Section Sur ***************	nmary ****							
Conduit	Shape	Full Depth	Full Area	Hyd. Rad.	Max. Width	No. d Barre	of Ls	Full Flow

#### \*\*\*\*\* NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step.

\*\*\*\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Analysis Options

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Flow Units	LPS YES NO NO NO NO YES NO HORTON DYNWAVE EXTRAN 05/25/2022 00:00:0 05/26/2022 00:00:0 0.0 00:01:00 00:05:00 00:05:00 5.00 sec YES 8 1 0.001524 m	0 0 Depth
Rupoff Quantity Continuity	bectare-m	Deptii
*****************************		
Total Precipitation	0.381	42.606
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Infiltration Loss	0.168	18.746
Surface Runoff	0.215	23.978
Continuity Error (%)	-0.572	0.126
continuity Error (%)	-0.372	
*****	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hectare-m	10^6 ltr
Dry Weather Inflow	0 000	0 000
Wet Weather Inflow	0.215	2.146
Groundwater Inflow	0.000	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Outflow	0.191	1.911
Flooding Loss	0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume	0.280	2.798
Final Stored Volume	0.303	3.033
Continuity Error (%)	0.000	
**************************************	* 5 *	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	
Highest Flow Instability In	ndexes	
***********************	* * * * * *	
All links are stable.		
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Routing Time Step Summary		
****	4 50	
Minimum Time Step	: 4.50 sec	
Average Time Step Maximum Time Step	: 5.00 sec	
Percent in Steady State	: 0.00 sec	
Average Iterations per Step	p: 2.00	

# **ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN – 5 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT**

Percent No	ot	Converg	ging	:	0.00	
Time Step	Fr	equenci	Les	:		
5.000	-	3.155	sec	:	100.00	90
3.155	-	1.991	sec	:	0.00	90
1.991	-	1.256	sec	:	0.00	00
1.256	-	0.792	sec	:	0.00	00
0.792	-	0.500	sec	:	0.00	90

#### 

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

#### \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Total Total Total Imperv Perv Total Total Peak Runoff Precip Runon Evap Infil Runoff Runoff Runoff Runoff Runoff Coeff mm 10^6 ltr mm Subcatchment mm mm mm mm mm LPS \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 101 42.61 0.00 0.00 32.94 0.00 9.43 9.43 0.13 15.27 0.221 42.61 0.00 0.00 32.23 0.00 10.21 10.21 102 0.32 43.35 0.240 42.61 0.00 0.00 5.07 35.13 2.82 37.96 1.70 201 1758.46 0.891

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Node Depth Summary

```
****
```

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time Occu days	of Max rrence hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
OF1	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	509.10	0	00:00	0.00
OF2	OU'I'F'ALL	0.00	0.00	509.00	0	00:00	0.00
OF3	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0.00
SU1	STORAGE	1.16	1.35	509.35	0	03:03	1.35

# 

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Туре	Maximum Lateral Inflow LPS	Maximum Total Inflow LPS	Time ( Occu: days ]	of Max rrence hr:min	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Flow Balance Error Percent
OF1	OUTFALL	43.35	43.35	0	01:40	0.318	0.318	0.000
OF2	OUTFALL	0.00	35.28	0	03:03	0	1.47	0.000
OF3	OUTFALL	15.27	15.27	0	01:50	0.127	0.127	0.000
SU1	STORAGE	1758.46	1758.46	0	01:15	1.7	4.5	0.001

No nodes were surcharged.

No nodes were flooded.

# ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN - 5 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT

## 

Storage Volume Summary

Storage Unit	Average Volume 1000 m3	Avg Pcnt Full	Evap Exfil Pcnt Pcnt Loss Loss	Maximur Volume 1000 mi	m Ma e Pcn 3 Ful	x Tim t Oc l day	e of Max currence s hr:min	Maximum Outflow LPS
su1	3.464	38	0 0	4.24	8 4	7	0 03:03	35.28
**************************************	*** ary ***							
Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pcnt	Avg Flow LPS	Max Flow LPS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr				
OF1 OF2 OF3	97.22 99.27 96.71	3.79 17.08 1.52	43.35 35.28 15.27	0.318 1.465 0.127				
System	97.73	22.40	90.53	1.911				
**************************************								
Link	Туре	Maximum  Flow  LPS	Time of Ma Occurrenc days hr:mi	x Maximum e  Veloc  n m/sec	Max/ Full Flow	Max/ Full Depth		
OR1	ORIFICE	35.28	0 03:0	3		1.00		
**************************************	****** Summary ******							
Conduit	Adjusted /Actual Length	Up Dry Dr	Fractio Down S y Dry C	n of Time in 1 ub Sup Up rit Crit Cr.	Flow Cla Down it Crit	ss Norm Ltd	Inlet Ctrl	

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Mon Aug 14 16:28:54 2023 Analysis ended on: Mon Aug 14 16:28:54 2023 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

## **ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN – 25 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT**

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.015) \_\_\_\_\_

* * * * * * *	***	* * * *	
Element ******	: Co	ount ****	
Number	of	rain gages	3
Number	of	subcatchments	3
Number	of	nodes	4
Number	of	links	1
Number	of	pollutants	0
Number	of	land uses	0

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Raingage Summary \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Name	Data Source	Data Type	Recording Interval
Chicago_3h	Chicago_3h	INTENSITY	5 min.
Chicago_3h_100yr	Chicago_3h_100yr	INTENSITY	5 min.
Chicago_3h_25yr	Chicago_3h_25yr	INTENSITY	5 min.

#### 

Subcatchment Summary \*\*\*\*\*

Name	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope Rain Gage	Outlet
101 102	1.35 3.12	120.00 390.00	0.00 0.00	2.0000 Chicago_3h_25yr 2.0000 Chicago_3h_25yr	OF3 OF1
201	4.48	600.00	81.50	2.0000 Chicago 3h 25yr	SU1

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*

Node Summary

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Name	Туре	Invert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow
OF1	OUTFALL	509.10	0.00	0.0	
OF2	OUTFALL	509.00	0.00	0.0	
OF3	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	0.0	
SU1	STORAGE	508.00	2.25	0.0	

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Link Summary ************ Name	From Node	To Node	Τγρε	2	Len	qth	%Slope	Roughness
OR1	SU1	OF2	ORIE	FICE				
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *							
Cross Section Su	mmary							
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *							
		Full	Full	Hyd.	Max.	No. c	of	Full
Conduit	Shape	Depth	Area	Rad.	Width	Barrel	ls	Flow

\*\*\*\*\* NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step.

\*\*\*\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Analysis Options

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Flow Units	LPS	
Rainfall/Runoff	YES	
RDII	NO	
Snowmelt	NO	
Groundwater	NO	
Ponding Allowed	NO	
Water Quality	NO	
Infiltration Method	HORTON	
Flow Routing Method	DYNWAVE	
Starting Date	05/25/2022 00:00:0	0
Ending Date	05/26/2022 00:00:0	0
Antecedent Dry Days	0.0	
Report Time Step	00:01:00	
Dry Time Step	00:05:00	
Routing Time Step	5.00 sec	
Variable Time Step	YES	
Maximum Trials	8	
Head Tolerance	1 0.001524 m	
****	Volumo	Donth
Runoff Ouantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm
****		
Total Precipitation	0.522	58.334
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Surface Runoff	0.317	35.400
Final Storage	0.001	0.127
Continuity Error (%)	-0.529	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hectare-m	10^6 ltr
Dry Weather Inflow	0 000	0 0 0 0
Wet Weather Inflow	0.317	3.168
Groundwater Inflow	0.000	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.000	0.000
External Inflow	0.000	2 853
Flooding Loss	0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Exfiltration Loss	0.000	0.000
Final Stored Volume	0.280	2.798
Continuity Error (%)	0.001	0,110
-		
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Time-Step Critical Elements	3	
****	e de la companya de la	
None		
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *	
Highest Flow Instability Ir	ndexes	
***************************************	* * * * *	
ALL LINKS ARE STADLE.		
**************************************		
KOULING TIME STEP SUMMARY		
Minimum Time Step	: 4.50 sec	
Average Time Step	: 5.00 sec	
Maximum Time Step	: 5.00 sec	
Dorgont in Standy State	. 0.00	

# ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN - 25 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT

Percent No	ot	Converg	ging	:	0.00	
Time Step	Fr	equenci	es	:		
5.000	-	3.155	sec	:	100.00	90
3.155	-	1.991	sec	:	0.00	90
1.991	-	1.256	sec	:	0.00	00
1.256	-	0.792	sec	:	0.00	00
0.792	-	0.500	sec	:	0.00	8

#### 

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

#### \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Total Total Total Imperv Perv Total Total Peak Runoff Precip Runon Evap Infil Runoff Runoff Runoff Runoff Runoff Coeff 10^6 ltr mm Subcatchment mm mm mm mm mm mm LPS \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 101 58.33 0.00 0.00 40.79 0.00 17.32 17.32 0.23 32.36 0.297 0.00 39.81 0.00 18.38 18.38 58.33 0.00 102 0.57 92.87 0.315 58.33 0.00 0.00 6.16 48.02 4.68 52.70 201 2.36 2476.89 0.903

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Node Depth Summary

```
****
```

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time Occu days	of Max urrence hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
OF1 OF2 OF3	OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL	0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00	509.10 509.00 0.00	0 0 0	00:00 00:00 00:00	0.00 0.00 0.00
SU1	STORAGE	1.24	1.47	509.47	0	03:03	1.4

# 

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Туре	Maximum Lateral Inflow LPS	Maximum Total Inflow LPS	Time o Occur days h	f Max rence r:min	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Flow Balance Error Percent
OF1	OUTFALL	92.87	92.87	0	01:30	0.573	0.573	0.000
OF2	OUTFALL	0.00	43.10	0	03:03	0	2.05	0.000
OF3	OUTFALL	32.36	32.36	0	01:35	0.234	0.234	0.000
SU1	STORAGE	2476.89	2476.89	0	01:15	2.36	5.16	0.001

#### 

No nodes were surcharged.

No nodes were flooded.

# ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN – 25 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT

## 

Storage Volume Summary

Storage Unit	Average Volume 1000 m3	Avg Pcnt Full	Evap Exfil Pcnt Pcnt Loss Loss	Maximum Volume 1000 m3	n Ma Pcn Ful	x Time t Oco l days	e of Max currence s hr:min	Maximum Outflow LPS
SU1	3.771	41	0 0	4.849	5	3 (	0 03:03	43.10
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * *							
Outfall Loading Sum **********************	mary ****							
Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pcnt	Avg Flow LPS	Max Flow LPS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr				
OF1 OF2 OF3	97.84 99.41 97.37	6.78 23.82 2.78	92.87 43.10 32.36	0.573 2.046 0.234				
System	98.21	33.38	162.48	2.853				
**************************************	*							
Link	Туре	Maximum  Flow  LPS	Time of Max Occurrence days hr:min	Maximum e  Veloc  n m/sec	Max/ Full Flow	Max/ Full Depth		
 OR1	ORIFICE	43.10	0 03:03	3		1.00		

	Adjusted			Fract	ion of	Time	in Flo	w Clas	s	
	/Actual		Up	Down	Sub	Sup	Up	Down	Norm	Inlet
Conduit	Length	Dry	Dry	Dry	Crit	Crit	Crit	Crit	Ltd	Ctrl

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Mon Aug 14 16:27:56 2023 Analysis ended on: Mon Aug 14 16:27:56 2023 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

# ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN - 100 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT

EPA STORM WATER MANAGEMENT MODEL - VERSION 5.1 (Build 5.1.015) \_\_\_\_\_

* * * * * * *	***;	* * * *	
Element	: Co	ount ****	
Number	of	rain gages	3
Number	of	subcatchments	3
Number	of	nodes	4
Number	of	links	1
Number	of	pollutants	0
Number	of	land uses	0

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Raingage Summary \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

Name	Data Source	Data Type	Recording Interval
Chicago_3h	Chicago_3h	INTENSITY	5 min.
Chicago_3h_100yr	Chicago_3h_100yr	INTENSITY	5 min.
Chicago_3h_25yr	Chicago_3h_25yr	INTENSITY	5 min.

#### 

Subcatchment Summary \*\*\*\*

Name	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope Rain Gage	Outlet
101	1.35	120.00	0.00	2.0000 Chicago_3h_100yr	OF3
102	3.12	390.00	0.00	2.0000 Chicago_3h_100yr	OF1
201	4.48	600.00	81.50	2.0000 Chicago_3h_100yr	SU1

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*

Node Summary

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Name	Туре	Invert Elev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	External Inflow
OF1	OUTFALL	509.10	0.00	0.0	
OF2	OUTFALL	509.00	0.00	0.0	
OF3	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	0.0	
SU1	STORAGE	508.00	2.25	0.0	

#### \*\*\*\*\*\*

Link Summary ******									
Name	From Node	To Node	Туре	2	Leng	gth	%Slope	Roughness	
OR1	SU1	OF2	ORII	FICE					
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * *								
Cross Section Sur ***************	nmary ****								
Conduit	Shape	Full Depth	Full Area	Hyd. Rad.	Max. Width	No. d Barre	of Ls	Full Flow	

#### \*\*\*\*\* NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step.

\*\*\*\*

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* Analysis Options

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
Flow Units Process Models:	LPS		
Rainfall/Runoff	YES		
RDII	NO		
Snowmelt	NO		
Groundwater	NO		
Flow Routing	YES		
Ponding Allowed	NO		
Water Quality	NO		
Infiltration Method	HORTON		
Flow Routing Method	DYNWAVE		
Surcharge Method	EXTRAN	000 00.00.	0.0
Ending Date	05/25/2	022 00:00:	00
Antecedent Dry Dave	03/20/2	022 00:00:	00
Report Time Step	00.01.0	0	
Wet Time Step	00:05:0	0	
Dry Time Step	00:05:0	0	
Routing Time Step	5.00 se	С	
Variable Time Step	YES		
Maximum Trials	8		
Number of Threads	1		
Head Tolerance	0.00152	4 m	
			_
****		Volume	Depth
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hec	tare-m	mm
Motol Droginitation		0 624	70 057
Further Loss		0.034	/0.85/
Evaporation Loss		0.000	26.036
Surface Bunoff		0.200	45 058
Final Storage		0.001	0.126
Continuity Error (%)		-0.511	0,120
2 . ,			
**********************		Volume	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	hec	tare-m	10^6 ltr
****			
Dry Weather Inflow		0.000	0.000
Croundwater Inflow		0.403	4.033
RDII Inflow		0.000	0.000
External Inflow		0.000	0.000
External Outflow		0.363	3.635
Flooding Loss		0.000	0.000
Evaporation Loss		0.000	0.000
Exfiltration Loss		0.000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume		0.280	2.798
Final Stored Volume		0.320	3.196
Continuity Error (%)		0.001	
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
Time-Step Critical Elements			
****			
None			
****	****		
Hignest Flow Instability In	dexes		
All links are stable	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		
ALL LINKS ALE SLADIE.			
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
Routing Time Step Summary			
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			
Minimum Time Step	:	4.50 sec	
Average Time Step	:	5.00 sec	
Maximum Time Step	:	5.UU sec	
rercent in Steady State	:	0.00	
Average Iterations ner Stor	•	2 00	

# ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN - 100 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT

Percent No	ot	Converging	:	0.00	
Time Step	F	requencies	:		
5.000	-	3.155 sec	:	100.00	양
3.155	-	1.991 sec	:	0.00	양
1.991	-	1.256 sec	:	0.00	양
1.256	-	0.792 sec	:	0.00	90
0.792	-	0.500 sec	:	0.00	00

#### 

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

#### \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ Total Total Total Imperv Perv Total Total Peak Runoff Precip Runon Evap Infil Runoff Runoff Runoff Runoff Runoff Coeff mm 10^6 ltr mm mm Subcatchment mm mm mm mm LPS \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ 101 70.86 0.00 0.00 45.96 0.00 24.70 24.70 0.33 51.23 0.349 70.86 0.00 44.94 25.82 25.82 0.00 0.00 102 0.81 147.78 0.364 70.86 0.00 0.00 6.87 58.27 6.32 64.59 201 2.89 3078.31 0.912

#### \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Node Depth Summary

```
****
```

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time Occu days	of Max arrence hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters
OF1 OF2 OF3	OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	509.10 509.00 0 00	0 0	00:00	0.00 0.00
SU1	STORAGE	1.30	1.57	509.57	0	03:04	1.57

# 

Node Inflow Summary

Node	Туре	Maximum Lateral Inflow LPS	Maximum Total Inflow LPS	Time o Occur days h	of Max rence r:min	Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Total Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr	Flow Balance Error Percent
OF1	OUTFALL	147.78	147.78	0	01:25	0.805	0.805	0.000
OF2	OUTFALL	0.00	48.31	0	03:04	0	2.5	0.000
OF3	OUTFALL	51.23	51.23	0	01:30	0.333	0.333	0.000
SU1	STORAGE	3078.31	3078.31	0	01:15	2.89	5.69	0.001

No nodes were surcharged.

No nodes were flooded.

# ECOPARK WAY SITE PLAN - 100 YEAR DESIGN STORM EVENT

## 

Storage Volume Summary

Storage Unit	Average Volume 1000 m3	Avg Pcnt Full	Evap Exfil Pcnt Pcnt Loss Loss	Maximum Volume 1000 m3	Max Pcnt Full	Time Occ davs	of Max urrence hr:min	Maximum Outflow LPS
sul	4.051	45	0 0	5.342	59	0	03:04	48.31
**************************************	**** nary ****							
Outfall Node	Flow Freq Pcnt	Avg Flow LPS	Max Flow LPS	Total Volume 10^6 ltr				
OF1 OF2 OF3	98.17 99.48 97.74	9.50 29.04 3.95	147.78 48.31 51.23	0.805 2.496 0.333				
System	98.46	42.48	239.39	3.635				
**************************************	*							
Link	Туре	Maximum  Flow  LPS	Time of Ma Occurrenc days hr:mi	x Maximum e  Veloc  n m/sec	Max/ Full Flow	Max/ Full Depth		
OR1	ORIFICE	48.31	0 03:0	4		1.00		
**************************************	******* Summary *****							

	Adjusted			Fraction of Time in Flow Class						
	/Actual		Up	Down	Sub	Sup	Up	Down	Norm	Inlet
Conduit	Length	Dry	Dry	Dry	Crit	Crit	Crit	Crit	Ltd	Ctrl

No conduits were surcharged.

Analysis begun on: Wed Jul 19 10:15:00 2023 Analysis ended on: Wed Jul 19 10:15:00 2023 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec